UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,638	01/14/2004	Yasuyuki Nomizu	6453P026	5816
8791 7590 05/02/2008 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNDYVALE CA 04085 4040			EXAMINER	
			BAYAT, ALI	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/758,638	NOMIZU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALI BAYAT	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ja</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,10-22,26-37,41-52,56-68 and 71-3 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,10-22,26-37 and 41-46 is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. wed.	on.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/04;5/10/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/758,638 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant reply filed on 01/14/08 is acknowledged, applicant has selected species of figure 12 (claims 1-6, 10-22, 26-37, 41-52, 56-58 and 71-76) and has cancelled without prejudice the remaining species of figures 10, 11, 13 and 14 (claims 7-9, 23-25, 38-40, 53-55 and 69-70).

Claim Objections

Therefore, the above selected claims will be examined.

2.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim1line 2 the word "acquirer" is misspelled, it should read acquire.

Appropriate correction is required.

Duplicate Claims

3.

Applicant is advised that should claims 47,50-52,56-61 be found allowable, claims 62, 65-67,71-76 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Page 3

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claims 47-52 and 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 47 an article of manufacture having one or more recordable media storage instructions thereon which, when executed by a computer having an image processing....defines (a computer program), embodying functional descriptive material.

However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will

Application/Control Number: 10/758,638 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV).

That is, the scope of the presently claimed (a computer program) can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure. Examiner suggestion "An article of manufacture having one or more computer readable storage media storing instructions thereon which, when executed by a computer having an image processing...).

Claims 48-52 and 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they depend to rejected claim 47.

Allowed Claims

5. Claims 1-6, 10-22, 26-37 and 41-46 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the closet prior art of Delean provides for assigning pixels from the original image to pixels in the new image format (rectangles or squares) each of which can be individually compressed to yield reduced image size, also creating a second lower resolution image by averaging groups of pixels falling within a first Predetermined area into an averaged pixel, this second image is also organized

in groups, e.g. squares, thereby, then saving the resulting image representation on a storage device. See col.4 lines 40-55 of Delean.

Delean failed to teach or suggest for "a display unit to displaying an image corresponding to the second encoded image data in a display unit; an editing unit to accept an edit operation to the image displayed in the display unit and for applying edit processing corresponding to the edit operation to the first encoded image data; and an edit-result manifesting unit to manifest a result of the edit processing to the second encoded image data".

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US patent 5,790,708 to Delean is cited for procedure for image processing in a computerized system.

US patent 6,020,982 to Yamuchi et al. is cited for image data processing apparatus for digitally reproducing optical image data.

US patent 7,271,832 to Parulski et al. is cited for JPEG compatible image file format using multiple application segments.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,638 Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

US patent 6,724,977 to Linzer is cited for compressed video editor with transition buffer matcher.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALI BAYAT whose telephone number is (571)272-7444. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,638

Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

/Ali Bayat/ Patent Examiner Division 2624 4/27/08